



# CSCI 241

Flipped Lecture 13a

The Set ADT

A Very Brief Intro to Generics

# Goals

- Know why Java has **generics**, and how to use and implement generic classes.
- Know how the **Comparable** interface works.

# Set ADT

```
/** A collection that contains no duplicate
 * elements. */
interface Set {
    /** Return true if the set contains ob */
    boolean contains(Object ob);

    /** Add ob to the set; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean add(Object ob);

    /** Remove ob from the set; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean remove(Object ob);
    ...
}
```

# Before Generics

```
/** A collection that contains no duplicate elements. */  
interface Set {  
    /** Return true iff the collection contains ob */  
    boolean contains(Object ob);  
    /** Add ob to the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean add(Object ob);  
    /** Remove ob from the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean remove(Object ob);  
    ...  
}
```

Can contain anything that extends Object (any class at all)

- **But not primitive types:** int, double, float, boolean, ...

# The Problem

```
Set c = ...
c.add("Hello")
c.add("World");
...
for (Object ob : c) {
    String s = (String) ob;
    // do things with s
}
```

Notice: Arrays don't have this problem!

```
String[] a = ...
a[0]= ("Hello")
a[1]= ("World");
...
for (String s : a) {
    System.out.println(s);
}
```

# The Solution: Generics

```
Object[] oa= ...           // array of Objects
String[] sa= ...          // array of Strings
ArrayList<Object> oA= ... // ArrayList of Objects
ArrayList<String> oA= ... // ArrayList of Strings
```

# The Solution: Generics

```
Object[] oa= ...           // array of Objects
String[] sa= ...          // array of Strings
ArrayList<Object> oA= ... // ArrayList of Objects
ArrayList<String> oA= ... // ArrayList of Strings
```

Now the Set interface written like this:

# The Solution: Generics

```
Object[] oa= ...           // array of Objects
String[] sa= ...          // array of Strings
ArrayList<Object> oA= ... // ArrayList of Objects
ArrayList<String> oA= ... // ArrayList of Strings
```

Now the Set interface written like this:

```
interface Set<T> {
    /** Return true iff the collection contains x */
    boolean contains(T x);

    /** Add x to the collection; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean add(T x);

    /** Remove x from the collection; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean remove(T x);
    ...
}
```



# The Solution: Generics

The Set interface is now written like this:

```
interface Set<T> {  
    /** Return true iff the collection contains x */  
    boolean contains(T x);  
  
    /** Add x to the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean add(T x);  
  
    /** Remove x from the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean remove(T x);  
    ...  
}
```

# The Solution: Generics

The Set interface is now written like this:

```
interface Set<T> {  
    /** Return true iff the collection contains x */  
    boolean contains(T x);  
  
    /** Add x to the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean add(T x);  
  
    /** Remove x from the collection; return true iff  
     * the collection is changed. */  
    boolean remove(T x);  
    ...  
}
```

**Key idea:** I don't need to know what T is to implement these!

# The Solution: Generics

**Key idea:** I don't need to know what T is to implement these!

```
Set<String> c= ...  
c.add("Hello")    /* Okay */  
c.add(1979);      /* Illegal: compile error! */
```

Generally speaking,

`Collection<String>`

behaves like the parameterized type

`Collection<T>`

where all occurrences of T have been replaced by `String`.

# The Solution: Generics

The bummer: T must extend Object - no primitive types.

Can't do:

```
Collection<int> c = ...
```

Have to use:

```
Collection<Integer>
```

Java often seamlessly converts `int` to `Integer` and back.

```
Integer x = 5; // works
```

```
int x = new Integer(5); // works
```

“Autoboxing/unboxing”

**Now you get it:**



# Set ADT

```
/** A collection that contains no duplicate
 * elements. */
interface Set<T> {
    /** Return true if the set contains ob */
    boolean contains(T ob);

    /** Add ob to the set; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean add(T ob);

    /** Remove ob from the set; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean remove(T ob);
    ...
}
```

# ArraySet<T>

```
class ArraySet<T> implements Set<T> {
    T[] a;
    int size;
    /** Return true iff the collection contains x */
    boolean contains(T x) {
        for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
            if a[i].equals(x)
                return true;
        }
        return false;
    }
    /** Add x to the collection; return true iff
     * the collection is changed. */
    boolean add(T x) {
        if (!contains(x)) {
            a[size] = x; // let's hope a is big enough...
            size++;
            return true;
        }
        return false;
    }
}
```

# Inner Classes

- We often use an *inner class* to store Node objects of trees, graphs, lists, etc.
- Example: a Node class defined inside the LinkedList class, only used within the class.

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Node head;  
  
    public class Node {  
        private int value;  
        private Node next;  
    }  
    // methods, etc  
}
```



# The Comparable interface

is (almost) defined like this:

```
interface Comparable {  
    int compareTo(Object o);  
}
```

# The Comparable interface

```
class Student implements Comparable {  
    // fields and methods  
    public int compareTo(Object o) {  
        // compare students by last  
        // then first name  
    }  
}
```

Need to sort students? Builtin Arrays.sort() calls compareTo instead of using <:

```
Student[] my241Section = [...]  
Arrays.sort(my241Section);
```

# The Comparable interface

```
class Orange implements Comparable;  
class Apple implements Comparable;  
Orange o = new Orange();  
Apple a = new Apple();
```

We can compare apples to oranges!

```
a.compareTo(o);
```

# The Comparable interface

is (actually) defined like this:

```
interface Comparable<T> {  
    int compareTo(T o);  
}
```

# The Comparable Interface

```
interface Comparable<T> {  
    int compareTo(T o);  
}
```

```
class Orange implements Comparable<Orange>;  
class Apple implements Comparable<Apple>;  
Orange o = new Orange();  
Apple a = new Apple();  
a.compareTo(o);
```

Won't compile because Apple **doesn't** have:

```
compareTo(Orange o)
```

It only has:

```
compareTo(Apple o)
```

# Fancier Generics

What if I care a little bit what T is?

```
SortableCollection<String> c= ...
```

```
c.sort();
```

← requires T to be Comparable!

# Fancier Generics

What if I care a little bit what T is?

```
SortableCollection<String> c= ...
```

```
c.sort();
```

← requires T to be Comparable<T>!

```
interface SortableCollection<T extends Comparable<T>>
{
    ...
}
```