

## Chapter 6 -- Control Flow

---

- order of operations as a program executes
- sequential (sequencing)
- unstructured (e.g. goto, typically in assembly)
- selection (aka alternation)
- iteration
- subprogram/procedural (Chapt 9)
- recursion
- concurrency/parallel (Chapt 13)
- exception handling (Chapt 13)
- speculation (Chapt 13)
- nondeterminacy
  
- Expression Evaluation
  - operators (e.g. +, - %, ...)
  - operands (aka arguments)
- Notations:
  - prefix: Op a b or op(a,b) or (op a b)
  - infix: a Op b
  - postfix: a b Op

## Control Flow (page 2)

---

- Expression Evaluation (cont)
- Parenthesis group operators and operands
  - Lisp: `(* (+ 1 3) 2)`
  - ML: `max (2+3) 4 ;;`
  - Smalltalk(Mixfix): `myBox displayOn: myScreen at: 100@50`
- Precedence and Associativity
  - $a + b * c ^ d ^ e / f$  ? order of operations?
  - results differ based on order (precedence)
  - $a + b - c + b - d$  ? order of operations?
  - results can differ based on order (associativity)
- Expression issues:
  - Pascal: if  $a < b$  and  $c < d$  then ...
    - is:  $a < (b \text{ and } c) < d$
  - Fortran:  $4^{**}3^{**}2$ 
    - 262144 -- right association
  - Ada:  $4^{**}3^{**}2$ 
    - syntax error -- no association, must provide ()s
- Standard math associativity:
  - $+, -, *, /, \%$  -- left to right
  - $^{**}$  (or  $^$ ) -- right to left

## Precedence from book

Fortran	Pascal	C	Ada
		++, -- (post-inc., dec.)	
**	not	++, -- (pre-inc., dec.), +, - (unary), &, * (address, contents of), !, ~ (logical, bit-wise not)	abs (abs) not, **
*, /	*, /, div, mod, and	* (binary), /, % (modulo division)	*, /, mod
+, - (unary and binary)	+,- (unary and binary), or	+,- (binary)	+,- (unary and binary)
		<<, >> (left and right bit shift)	+,- (binary) & (concatenation)
.eq., .ne., .lt., .le., .gt., .ge. (comparisons)	<, <=, >, >=, =, <>, IN	<, <=, >, >= (inequality tests)	=, /=, <=, >=
.not.		==, != (equality tests)	
		& (bit-wise and)	
		^ (bit-wise exclusive or)	

## Control flow (page 4)

---

- Some languages allow programmer precedence and associativity
- Haskell: infixr 8 ^
- right-to-left, next highest precedence
- infixl, infix, precedence levels from 0 to 9 (highest)
- Assignment operator in expressions (like C:  $a = b = c + d$ ;
  - typically right to left
- assignment produces a side effect vs pure expression based language
- pure functional have no side effects, e.g. an expression will always generate the same value
  - referentially transparent.
- in an imperative language, variables can change value so an expression may have different values
  - computation by side effect

### Languages in different classes

- Pure Functional: Haskell, Miranda, and some other obscure languages
- Mostly Functional: ML, Lisp, Erlang, and a bunch of other languages
- Mostly Imperative with functional features: C#, Scala, Python, Ruby, ...

## References and Values

---

- Assignment appears simple ... but there are some issues
- L-value vs R-value
- `a = b; // a is an L-value, b is an R-value`
- `a = b + c; // a is an L-value, b is an R-value`
- `lvalue = rvalue;`
- a complicated expression can be an l-value: `(f(a)+3)->b[c] = 2; // in C`
- context defines l-value/r-value: `t = a; a = b; b = t; // C`
- Differences:
  - Pascal & Clu: `b := 2; c := b; a := b + c;`
  - Pascal -- box model -- copies data (value model)
  - Clu -- pointer model -- copies pointers (reference modes)
- Java uses value model for built-in types and reference model for classes
- C# allows choice for user defined, class is reference, struct is a value
- Reference model -- r-value needs a dereference, either implicit or explicit
- Has issues if built-in types are value, classes are reference
- Java: wrapper class to insert integers into hashtable collection object ...
- recent C# and Java 5+ do automatic boxing

## Orthogonality of features ...

---

- features can be used in "any" combination
- algol 68 -- designed for orthogonality
  - every statement has a value (no "void" functions)
  - a := if b < c then d else e;
  - a := begin f(b); g(c); end;
  - g(d); 2+3;
- C not quite similar but both allow assignment in expressions
  - C's problem: = vs ==
  - many bugs due to this feature
  - Some languages use := for assignment to avoid this
  - (My ATL/X for CSCI 450 uses <-- for assignment.)
- Issue for imperative languages ... they depend on side effects
  - Often update variables
  - a <-- a + 1
  - b.c[i].d <-- b.c[i].d \* f;
  - a[f(i)] <-- a[f(i)] + 1; vs j <-- f(i); a[j] = a[j] + 1;
  - algol 68, C, ... use OP=, like a+= 1;
  - prefix/postfix increment/decrement ++A, B--
    - need a proper definition of sequence of operations (precedence)
  - \*p++ = \*q++;

## Side effects and assignment

---

- Clu, ML, Perl, Python, ...  $a, b = c, d;$
- swap:  $a, b = b, a;$  (order of operations again important)
- $a, b, c = f(d, e, f);$  // returns a tuple

### □ Initialization

- default value vs none
  - C global variables default value.
- Different languages provide different rules
- aggregate initialization -- many languages
- floating point NaN value?
- Issue of catching use of uninitialized variables for other types
- Compiler static checking of uninitialized variable use
- Many Object-oriented languages have constructors (static and dynamic objects)

### □ Operator ordering --

- precedence, associativity -- some ordering specified ... but
  - $a - f(b) - c * d$ 
    - what if  $f(b)$  sets  $a?$   $c * d$  before  $a - f(b)?$
  - function call:  $f(a, g(b), h(c))$
  - How does optimization change this?
  - Many languages leave ordering as "undefined"
  - But may be require compiler to obey parenthesis ...

## More ordering

---

- Some languages allow for re-ordering based on math

$a = b + c; d = b + r + c;$  AS  $a = b + c; d = a + r;$

- Issue with:  $a - c + d$  where  $a + d$  overflows

- Should it be checked or not? Depends on language

- reordering can numeric stability .. real numbers

- adding small numbers first may change result.

- short circuit evaluation

- $a \&\& f(b)$  -- does  $f(b)$  have needed side effects?

- $p = \text{list}; \text{while } (p \&\& p->\text{key} \neq \text{val}) p = p->\text{next};$

- doesn't work in Pascal ... uses full evaluation

- can be used to avoid out of bounds also ...

- Some languages offer: "or" and "or else", "and", "and then" (Ada)

## Control Flow

---

- Assembly language ... conditional and unconditional jumps -- unstructured

- Early languages: unstructured also

    if (A .lt. B) goto 10 ! Fortran (and basic also)

    ...

    10: code

- Dijkstra -- "Goto Letter" CACM 11, 3 (March 1968) 147-148

- Alternatives: "structured programming", modular development, stepwise refinement

- sequencing, selection, iteration

- Done by algol 60 first: if/then/else, for, while

- Case/switch in Algol W

- repeat/until

- Goto mostly limited to inside a function / not in the language

- Functions/procedures have returns

- return multi levels in nested routines?

- historic languages allowed gotos to scope visible labels (Algol 60, PL/1, Pascal)

- would require unwinding of the stack

- How about passing in a label and being able to go to that one?

- C "library solution" setjump/longjump.

## Errors and other exceptions

---

- Deep return more often happens in an error condition
- Some languages provide an exception mechanism
  - error return via different path
  - needs to unwind stack
  - try { .. } catch ... typical
  - more later
- Continuations
  - generalization of return to a reference environment
  - Scheme and Ruby do it, implemented with a closure
  - Simple Ruby: cont.ruby (src)
  - Complex Ruby: cont2.ruby (src)
  - See why a Fiber is preferred (light weight cooperative concurrency)
  - Can build many things with this:
    - gotos, midloop exits, multilevel returns, exceptions, call-by-name parameters, coroutines (Fibers)

## Sequencing

---

- controlling the order of execution (imperative, assignments)
- a ; b; c;
- a is done before b, b before c. issue: subprogram with a side effect
- block of code: can be in begin/end or { ... } aka "compound statement"
- algol 68 and others, value of compound statement is last "statement"
- Common Lisp, choice by programmer
- sequencing is "useless" if no side effects may occur
- if functions can't have side effects (Euclid, Turing) sequencing may be changed

## Selection

- if ... then ... else
- dangling else (in some languages, Algol 60, Pascal, ...)
- some languages have elseif keyword
- lisp has similar

```
(cond
  ((= A B)
   (...))
  ((= A C)
   (...))
  ((= A D)
   (...))
  (T
   (...)))
```

## Selection (Page 2)

---

- Short-circuit conditions
- Some languages implicit (C) and some explicit (Ada)
- case/switch statements (aka computed goto)
  - replaces if/then/elsif/elsif/.../else
- Various versions
  - single value/single statement
  - multiple value/single statement
  - multiple value/break
  - default / otherwise
  - range cases
  - implementation varies: if/then, jump tables, combo
    - added to allow jump tables, faster implementation

## Iteration

- Way to perform similar operations (so is recursion)
- allows for more than fixed sized tasks
- imperative tends to use iteration, functional tends to use recursion
- Loops are typically executed for their side effects.
- Two primary types: logic controlled, enumeration controlled

## Iteration (page 2)

---

- Logic:

- while e do s; // may never execute s
- repeat s while e; Or repeat s until e; // always executes s

- Enumeration:

- Python: for e in mycollection (mycollection iterable type)
- Fortran 90: do i = 1, 10, 2 .... enddo
- Algol 60: for i := 1 step 2 until 10 do ...
- number of times through loop defined at compile time
- Pascal: for V := e1 to / downto e2 do s
  - e1 and e2 evaluated once before loop, not fixed at compile time
  - step is only by one or by -1
  - for x in set\_expr do s
- infinite loop problem with overflow
- Note: C is logic: for (e1; e2; e3) s -> e1; while(e2) { s; e3 }

- Issues:

- loop entry, loop exit?
  - Fortran jump to label, exit via break/exit
- can loop body modify "control variable"
- can loop body modify termination condition
- is control variable available outside loop
- restarting the loop out of order, continue

## Iteration (page 3)

---

### □ Iterators

□ general form: function that yeilds many values, one for each "call"

□ Can also generate a collection

□ Python: for i in range(first, last, step)

□ chapel iterator:

```
iter fib(n: int) {  
    var (current, next) = (0, 1);  
    for 1..n {  
        yield current;  
        (current, next) = (next, current + next);  
    }  
}
```

□ use:

```
write("First few Fib numbers are: ");  
for iv in fib(10) do  
    write(iv, ", ");  
writeln("...");
```

□ Collections can be data structures ... not as obvious how to iterate

□ book shows a python binary tree class with an iterator

□ also shows a java one, different techniques

## Iteration (page 4)

---

- Object iterators have a class initialization and a next method
- object keeps state
- C++ 11 allows ++ operator on an iterator as the next with iterator as a pointer
- read book on scheme, ruby and smalltalk iterators
- regular functions can simulate iterators
- Other looping constructs
  - for (;;) { ... } // the "official" way to do an infinite loop in C, exit via "break"
  - ada: label: loop ..... exit label when ... end loop label;

## Recursion

- Some languages (Fortran 77, early basic, ...) do not allow recursion
- Some functional languages do not allow iteration
- Most languages now have both iteration and recursion
- Use may mostly be matter of taste
  - and how the problem is presented:
    - sum  $1 \leq i \leq 10 f(i)$
    - gcd(a,b):
      - a, if  $a = b$
      - gcd( $a-b, b$ ), if  $a > b$
      - gcd( $a, b-a$ ), if  $b > a$

## Recursion (page 2)

---

- Can use either for these problems
- recursion is often the default for functional languages ... no variables need to be set
- Standard recursion
  - evaluate arguments at call
  - new activation record (on stack)
- tail recursion can be easily converted into iteration
- gcd example:

- recursive:

```
int gcd(int a, int b) { /* assume a, b > 0 */  
    if (a == b) return a;  
    else if (a > b) return gcd(a-b, b);  
    else return gcd(a,b-a);  
}
```

- compiler done iterative solution removing tail recursion

```
int gcd(int a, int b) { /* assume a, b > 0 */  
start:  
    if (a == b) return a;  
    else if (a > b) { a = a-b; goto start; }  
    else {b = b - a; goto start; }  
}
```

## Recursion (page 3)

---

- Programmer can write code that uses tail recursion to allow compiler to use iteration

- Recursive solutions are not necessarily algorithmically inferior

- Some recursive functions cost a lot: e.g. fib()

```
fib x: if x == 0 || x == 1 return 1;
```

```
else return fib(x-1) + fib(x-2);
```

- exponential solution when sequential possible (see chapel iterator)

- But, it is possible to do with tail recursion (which is O(n))

Parameters: Applicative- and Normal-Order evaluation

- assumption: parameters (arguments) are evaluated before passing to a subprogram

- Applicative-order ...

- Normal-Order: passing some representation of the argument for later evaluation

- Macros do Normal-Order

- Short Circuit boolean evaluation is also Normal-Order

- Only evaluated if needed

- name parameters: passes two representations: lvalue thunk, rvalue thunk

- some language designers ignore beneficial semantics due to "implementation cost"

- better languages may trade a bit of speed for better semantics

- Haskell and Miranda are side-effect free and use normal-order (lazy) evaluation for all parameters

## Lazy Evaluation

---

- Most imperative languages use applicative-order
- In some cases, normal-order can lead to faster code
  - in some cases (like short circuit code) will never evaluate an argument
- Haskell uses normal-order by default
- Scheme has functions called delay and force
  - implements lazy evaluation
    - with no side effect, same as normal-order
    - keeps track of which arguments have been evaluated
    - if needed more than once, evaluates only once
    - A delayed expression is sometimes called a promise
    - lazy data structure -- fleshed out on demand
- Algol 60 subroutine headers indicate type of parameter Applicative or normal

## Nondeterminacy and other flow control

- some languages allow a method of non-determinacy
- Chapel: foreach i in 1 ... 100 ; s
  - Sequential chapel -- not known, s must work for any order
  - Parallel chapel -- s can be done in parallel, even on different machines
- foreach l in locales ; f()
  - runs f() in parallel on all machines

