

## Chapter 6 -- Control Flow

---

### ☐ order of operations as a program executes

- ☐ sequential (sequencing)
- ☐ unstructured (e.g. goto, typically in assembly)
- ☐ selection (aka alternation)
- ☐ iteration
- ☐ subprogram/procedural (Chapt 9)
- ☐ recursion
- ☐ concurrency/parallel (Chapt 13)
- ☐ exception handling (Chapt 13)
- ☐ speculation (Chapt 13)
- ☐ nondeterminacy

### ☐ Expression Evaluation

- ☐ operators (e.g. +, -, %, ...)
- ☐ operands (aka arguments)
- ☐ Notations:
  - ☐ prefix: Op a b or op(a,b) or (op a b)
  - ☐ infix: a Op b
  - ☐ postfix: a b Op

### ☐ Expression Evaluation (cont)

#### ☐ Parenthesis group operators and operands

☐ Lisp: `(* (+ 1 3) 2)`

☐ ML: `max (2+3) 4 ;;`

☐ Smalltalk(Mixfix): `myBox displayOn: myScreen at: 100@50`

#### ☐ Precedence and Associativity

☐ `a + b * c ^ d ^ e / f` ? order of operations?

☐ results differ based on order (precedence)

☐ `a + b - c + b - d` ? order of operations?

☐ results can differ based on order (associativity)

#### ☐ Expression issues:

☐ Pascal: `if a < b and c < d then ...`

☐ is: `a < (b and c) < d`

☐ Fortran: `4**3**2`

☐ `262144 -- right association`

☐ Ada: `4**3**2`

☐ syntax error -- no association, must provide `()`s

#### ☐ Standard math associativity:

☐ `+, -, *, /, %` -- left to right

☐ `** (or ^)` -- right to left

Fortran	Pascal	C	Ada
		++, -- (post-inc., dec.)	
**	not	++, -- (pre-inc., dec.), +, - (unary), &, * (address, contents of), !, ~ (logical, bit-wise not)	abs (abs) not, **
*, /	*, /, div, mod, and	* (binary), /, % (modulo division)	*, /, mod
+, - (unary and binary)	+, - (unary and binary), or	+, - (binary)	+, - (unary)
		<<, >> (left and right bit shift)	+, - (binary) & (concatenation)
.eq., .ne., .lt., .le., .gt., .ge. (comparisons)	<, <=, >, >=, =, <>, IN	<, <=, >, >=, (inequality tests)	=, /=, <
.not.		==, != (equality tests)	
		& (bit-wise and)	
		^ (bit-wise exclusive or)	

- Some languages allow programmer precedence and associativity
  - Haskell: infixr 8 ^
  - right-to-left, next highest precedence
  - infixl, infix, precedence levels from 0 to 9 (highest)
- Assignment operator in expressions (like C: `a = b = c+d;`)
  - typically right to left
- assignment produces a side effect vs pure expression based language
- pure functional have no side effects, e.g. an expression will always generate the same value
  - referentially transparent.
- in an imperative language, variables can change value so an expression may have different values
  - computation by side effect

### Languages in different classes

- Pure Functional: Haskell, Miranda, and some other obscure languages
- Mostly Functional: ML, Lisp, Erlang, and a bunch of other languages
- Mostly Imperative with functional features: C#, Scala, Python, Ruby, ...

## References and Values

---

- Assignment appears simple ... but there are some issues
  - L-value vs R-value
  - $a = b$ ; //  $a$  is an L-value,  $b$  is an R-value
  - $a = b + c$ ; //  $a$  is an L-value,  $b$  is an R-value
  - $\text{lvalue} = \text{rvalue}$ ;
  - a complicated expression can be an l-value:  $(f(a)+3) \rightarrow b[c] = 2$ ; // in C
  - context defines l-value/r-value:  $t = a$ ;  $a = b$ ;  $b = t$ ; // C
  - Differences:
    - Pascal & Clu:  $b := 2$ ;  $c := b$ ;  $a := b + c$ ;
    - Pascal -- box model -- copies data (value model)
    - Clu -- pointer model -- copies pointers (reference modes)
  - Java uses value model for built-in types and reference model for classes
  - C# allows choice for user defined, class is reference, struct is a value
  - Reference model -- r-value needs a dereference, either implicit or explicit
    - Has issues if built-in types are value, classes are reference
  - Java: wrapper class to insert integers into hashtable collection object ...
    - recent C# and Java 5+ do automatic boxing

## Orthogonality of features ...

---

- features can be used in "any" combination
- algol 68 -- designed for orthogonality
  - every statement has a value (no "void" functions)
  - $a := \text{if } b < c \text{ then } d \text{ else } e;$
  - $a := \text{begin } f(b); g(c); \text{end};$
  - $g(d); 2+3;$
- C not quite similar but both allow assignment in expressions
  - C's problem:  $=$  vs  $==$
  - many bugs due to this feature
  - Some languages use  $:=$  for assignment to avoid this
  - (My ATL/X for CSCI 450 uses  $<--$  for assignment.)
- Issue for imperative languages ... they depend on side effects
  - Often update variables
  - $a <-- a + 1$
  - $b.c[i].d <-- b.c[i].d * f;$
  - $a[f(i)] <-- a[f(i)] + 1;$  vs  $j <-- f(i); a[j] = a[j] + 1;$
  - algol 68, C, ... use  $OP=$ , like  $a+= 1;$
  - prefix/postfix increment/decrement  $++A, B--$ 
    - need a proper definition of sequence of operations (precedence)
    - $*p++ = *q++;$

## Side effects and assignment

---

- Clu, ML, Perl, Python, ... `a, b = c, d;`

- swap: `a, b = b, a;` (order of operations again important)

- `a, b, c = f(d, e, f);` // returns a tuple

### □ Initialization

- default value vs none

- C global variables default value.

- Different languages provide different rules

- aggregate initialization -- many languages

- floating point NaN value?

- Issue of catching use of uninitialized variables for other types

- Compiler static checking of uninitialized variable use

- Many Object-oriented languages have constructors (static and dynamic objects)

### □ Operator ordering --

- precedence, associativity -- some ordering specified ... but

- `a - f(b) - c * d`

- what if `f(b)` sets `a`? `c*d` before `a-f(b)`?

- function call: `f(a, g(b), h(c))`

- How does optimization change this?

- Many languages leave ordering as "undefined"

- But may be require compiler to obey parenthesis ...

## More ordering

---

- Some languages allow for re-ordering based on math

$a = b + c; \quad d = b + r + c; \text{ AS } a = b + c; \quad d = a + r;$

- Issue with:  $a - c + d$  where  $a + d$  overflows

- Should it be checked or not? Depends on language

- reordering an numeric stability .. real numbers

- adding small numbers first may change result.

- short circuit evaluation

- $a \ \&\& \ f(b)$  -- does  $f(b)$  have needed side effects?

- $p = \text{list}; \text{ while } (p \ \&\& \ p \rightarrow \text{key} \neq \text{val}) \ p = p \rightarrow \text{next};$

- doesn't work in Pascal ... uses full evaluation

- can be used to avoid out of bounds also ...

- Some languages offer: "or" and "or else", "and", "and then" (Ada)



## Control Flow

---

- Assembly language ... conditional and unconditional jumps -- unstructured
- Early languages: unstructured also
  - if (A .lt. B) goto 10 ! Fortran (and basic also)
  - ...
  - 10: code
- Dijkstra -- "Goto Letter" CACM 11, 3 (March 1968) 147-148
- Alternatives: "structured programming", modular development, stepwise refinement
  - sequencing, selection, iteration
  - Done by algol 60 first: if/then/else, for, while
  - Case/switch in Algol W
  - repeat/until
- Goto mostly limited to inside a function / not in the language
- Functions/procedures have returns
  - return multi levels in nested routines?
  - historic languages allowed gotos to scope visible labels (Algol 60, PL/1, Pascal)
  - would require unwinding of the stack
  - How about passing in a label and being able to go to that one?
  - C "library solution" setjump/longjump.

## Errors and other exceptions

---

- ❑ Deep return more often happens in an error condition
- ❑ Some languages provide an exception mechanism
  - ❑ error return via different path
  - ❑ needs to unwind stack
  - ❑ try {..} catch ... typical
  - ❑ more later
- ❑ Continuations
  - ❑ generalization of return to a reference environment
  - ❑ Scheme and Ruby do it, implemented with a closure
  - ❑ Simple Ruby: `cont.ruby (src)`
  - ❑ Complex Ruby: `cont2.ruby (src)`
    - ❑ See why a Fiber is preferred (light weight cooperative concurrency)
- ❑ Can build many things with this:
  - ❑ `gotos`, `midloop exits`, `multilevel returns`, `exceptions`, `call-by-name parameters`, `coroutines (Fibers)`

## Sequencing

---

- controlling the order of execution (imperative, assignments)

- a ; b; c;

- a is done before b, b before c. issue: subprogram with a side effect

- block of code: can be in begin/end or {...} aka "compound statement"

- algol 68 and others, value of compound statement is last "statement"

- Common Lisp, choice by programmer

- sequencing is "useless" if no side effects may occur

- if functions can't have side effects (Euclid, Turing) sequencing may be changed

## Selection

- if ... then ... else

- dangling else (in some languages, Algol 60, Pascal, ...)

- some languages have elsif keyword

- lisp has similar

- (cond

- ((= A B)

- (...))

- ((= A C)

- (...))

- ((= A D)

- (...))

- (T

- (...)))

### ☐ Chort-circuit conditions

- ☐ Some language implicit (C) and some explicit (Ada)

### ☐ case/switch statements (aka computed goto)

- ☐ replaces if/then/elsif/elsif/.../else

### ☐ Various versions

- ☐ single value/single statement
- ☐ multiple value/single statement
- ☐ multiple value/break
- ☐ default / otherwise
- ☐ range cases
- ☐ implementation varies: if/then, jump tables, combo
  - ☐ added to allow jump tables, faster implementation

## Iteration

### ☐ Way to perform similar operations (so is recursion)

- ☐ allows for more than fixed sized tasks
- ☐ imperative tends to use iteration, functional tends to use recursion

### ☐ Loops are typically executed for their side effects.

### ☐ Two primary types: logic controlled, enumeration controlled

### □ Logic:

- while e do s; // may never execute s
- repeat s while e; Or repeat s until e; // always executes s

### □ Enumeration:

- Python: for e in mycollection (mycollection iterable type)
- Fortran 90: do i = 1, 10, 2 .... enddo
- Algol 60: for i := 1 step 2 until 10 do ...
- number of times through loop defined at compile time
- Pascal: for V := e1 to / downto e2 do s
  - e1 and e2 evaluated once before loop, not fixed at compile time
  - step is only by one or by -1
  - for x in set\_expr do s
- infinite loop problem with overflow
- Note: C is logic: for (e1; e2; e3) s -> e1; while(e2) { s; e3 }

### □ Issues:

- loop entry, loop exit?
  - Fortran jump to label, exit via break/exit
- can loop body modify "control variable"
- can loop body modify termination condition
- is control variable available outside loop
- restarting the loop out of order, continue

### □ Iterators

□ general form: function that yeilds many values, one for each "call"

□ Can also generate a collection

□ Python: for i in range(first, last, step)

□ chapel iterator:

```
iter fib(n: int) {  
    var (current, next) = (0, 1);  
    for 1..n {  
        yield current;  
        (current, next) = (next, current + next);  
    }  
}
```

□ use:

```
write("First few Fib numbers are: ");  
for iv in fib(10) do  
    write(iv, ", ");  
writeln("...");
```

□ Collections can be data structures ... not as obvious how to iterate

□ book shows a python binary tree class with an iterator

□ also shows a java one, different techniques

- Object iterators have a class initialization and a next method
  - object keeps state
- C++ 11 allows ++ operator on an iterator as the next with iterator as a pointer
- read book on scheme, ruby and smalltalk iterators
- regular functions can simulate iterators
- Other looping constructs
  - for (;;) { ... } // the "official" way to do an infinite loop in C, exit via "break"
  - ada: label: loop ..... exit label when ... end loop label;

### Recursion

- Some languages (Fortran 77, early basic, ...) do not allow recursion
- Some functional languages do not allow iteration
- Most languages now have both iteration and recursion
- Use may mostly be matter of taste
  - and how the problem is presented:
    - $\text{sum } 1 \leq i \leq 10 \text{ f}(i)$
    - $\text{gcd}(a,b)$ :
      - a, if  $a = b$
      - $\text{gcd}(a-b,b)$ , if  $a > b$
      - $\text{gcd}(a, b-a)$ , if  $b > a$

## Recursion (page 2)

---

□ Can use either for these problems

□ recursion is often the default for functional languages ... no variables need to be set

□ Standard recursion

□ evaluate arguments at call

□ new activation record (on stack)

□ tail recursion can be easily converted into iteration

□ gcd example:

□ recursive:

```
int gcd(int a, int b) { /* assume a, b > 0 */  
    if (a == b) return a;  
    else if (a > b) return gcd(a-b, b);  
    else return gcd(a,b-a);  
}
```

□ compiler done iterative solution removing tail recursion

```
int gcd(int a, int b) { /* assume a, b > 0 */  
start:  
    if (a == b) return a;  
    else if (a > b) { a = a-b; goto start; }  
    else { b = b - a; goto start; }  
}
```



- Programmer can write code that uses tail recursion to allow compiler to use iteration
- Recursive solutions are not necessarily algorithmically inferior
  - Some recursive functions cost a lot: e.g. fib()  
fib x: if x == 0 || x == 1 return 1;  
      else return fib(x-1) + fib(x-2);
- exponential solution when sequential possible (see chapel iterator)
- But, it is possible to do with tail recursion (which is  $O(n)$ )

Parameters: Applicative- and Normal-Order evaluation

- assumption: parameters (arguments) are evaluated before passing to a subprogram
  - Applicative-order ...
- Normal-Order: passing some representation of the argument for later evaluation
  - Macros do Normal-Order
  - Short Circuit boolean evaluation is also Normal-Order
    - Only evaluated if needed
  - name parameters: passes two representations: lvalue thunk, rvalue thunk
  - some language designers ignore beneficial semantics due to "implementation cost"
  - better languages may trade a bit of speed for better semantics
  - Haskell and Miranda are side-effect free and use normal-order (lazy) evaluation for all parameters

## Lazy Evaluation

---

- Most imperative languages use applicative-order
- In some cases, normal-order can lead to faster code
  - in some cases (like short circuit code) will never evaluate an argument
- Haskell uses normal-order by default
- Scheme has functions called delay and force
  - implements lazy evaluation
    - with no side effect, same as normal-order
    - keeps track of which arguments have been evaluated
    - if needed more than once, evaluates only once
    - A delayed expression is sometimes called a promise
    - lazy data structure -- fleshed out on demand
- Algol 60 subroutine headers indicate type of parameter Applicative or normal

## Nondeterminacy and other flow control

- some languages allow a method of non-determinacy
- Chapel: `foreach i in 1 ... 100 ; s`
  - Sequential chapel -- not known, s must work for any order
  - Parallel chapel -- s can be done in parallel, even on different machines
- `foreach l in locales ; f()`
  - runs f() in parallel on all machines

