Processes (Chapt 3)

Early computers: Load program into memory, run
- Only one program in memory at a time
- No sharing
- Program in total control of all elements of the machine
- No security ...
- (Assignment 1 does this on qemu/RISCV)

Shared computers:
- Have multiple programs "running" at the same time
  - called multiprogramming, sharing CPU
  - With multi-CPU machines, concurrent processes
- A process shouldn’t "interfere" with others
- A process shouldn’t "see" unrelated processes (not always enforced)
- A group of processes should be allowed to "work together"
Abstraction of process (task, job, ...)

- Even useful on single user systems (e.g. mobile devices)
- Process consists of:
  - executable file (program)
  - "memory image"
    - text, data, heap, stack
  - CPU state

Process "state"
- new: in the process of being created
- ready: ready to run, waiting on a CPU
- running: CPU actually running instructions
- waiting: "blocked" waiting an event
- terminated: finished execution, not cleaned up

- process state transitions (Sect 3.1.2)
Process Control Block

Kernel Data Structure -- keeps track of a process: Process table of Process Control Blocks
- State (last slide)
- Program Counter (PC)
- CPU Registers
- CPU Scheduling Information
- Memory Management Information
- Accounting Information (time used, PID, ...)
- I/O status information

With threading: multiple state/PC/Regs/Scheduling per thread
- Why not Memory Management?
  - Single memory image, multiple threads
Process Scheduling

What process (job) to run next?
- Kernel scheduler
  - policy: which one runs next
  - mechanism: switching processes (context switch)
- Queuing
  - Ready Queue: processes ready to run
  - Device/Event Queues: processes waiting on device/event
- Dispatch -- select a process for execution

Scheduler:
- part of the OS that makes the policy decision
- small amount of code
- controls degree of multiprogramming (number of processes in memory)
- can have large impacts on system performance
  - e.g. swapping -- moving entire processes memory image to disk
- I/O bound processes get selected first?
- Compute bound processes get selected first?
- Process Mix: Priority vs Round Robin vs ...
Process Creation

Two Primary methods

- Clone (e.g. UNIX -- fork())
  - copy a current process
  - new process running same program
  - running a new program is different system call (exec())

- Create New (e.g. Windows -- NtCreateProcess())
  - Creates process and specifies program at same system call
  - Very little is "inherited" from "parent"
  - Needs to specify a lot of things
  - Harder to simulate Clone with "Create New"
    - (Clone/exec can simulate Create New easily.)

Parent (Process creator) options

- Continues to run concurrently with children
- Wait for child to die
  - See code in book for UNIX and Windows versions
Process Termination

- Directly calling a routine to "exit"
- An error was detected and system "kills" the child
- Parent (or other process) can request system to "kill" child

Can a process become an orphan?
- UNIX -- Yes
- VMS -- No
  - A process terminates => kill all children
- Windows -- Yes

The first process ...
- UNIX -- "init" started first, pid of 1.
- Linux -- more recently started using a "systemd"
Cooperating processes need to communicate ...

- Why cooperate?
  - Information sharing
  - Computational speedup
  - Modularity
  - Convenience

Two primary models
- Shared Memory
- Message Passing

Shared Memory systems
- System maps parts of both virtual memory space to same physical memory
- What is written by one process can be seen by all others sharing memory
- Brings up synchronization problems
  - Producer and Consumer problem
  - Unbounded buffer vs bounded buffer
- POSIX has specified a shared memory API
Message Passing Systems

- Pipes
- mail boxes
  - rendezvous -- both process must be in code at same time
  - buffered mail box -- asynchronous
- local sockets
- network sockets

Read the book for specific examples and code
Threads and Concurrency (Chapter 4)

Thread is the "basic unit of CPU utilization" consisting of:
- CPU State
  - Program Counter
  - Registers
  - Other information ... CPU dependent
- Stack

Process Threads --
- Traditional Heavyweight
- Multi-threaded
  - user level threads
  - kernel level threads

Why?
- Responsiveness
- Resource Sharing
- Economy
- Scalability
- Simulation

- Multi-core and systems with GPUs
  - task parallel vs data parallel (MIMD vs SIMD) (CSCI 415/515)
Thread Models

- **Many to one (aka User Level Threads)**
  - Kernel knows about only one thread
  - Library keeps track of threads
  - Block a thread blocks entire process
  - No concurrent running on a multi-processor

- **One to one**
  - Each user level thread has a kernel thread
  - Blocking one thread does not block other threads
  - Full concurrency on a multi-processor
  - Expensive in kernel resources

- **Many to Many (aka M:N model)**
  - Many user level threads
  - Fewer kernel threads
  - Not as expensive in kernel, still allows concurrency

Thread programming --- User view --- CS 347

Review Amdahl’s Law (Sec 4.2.1) and "multicore programming"
Thread Issues in the OS

- Explicit threading: pthreads, windows threads, Java threads, ..
- Implicit threading: OpenMP, Grand Central Dispatch (Apple), ...
- Both: Chapel!

- fork() and exec(), fork() duplicate all threads?
- thread cancellation
  - resource releasing
  - cancellation points
- signal delivery
  - to one thread vs to all threads
  - Often, delivered to a thread not blocking signal
- thread pools
- thread specific data
  - errno with concurrent system calls
  - user level per thread data (i.e. global to thread)

Read section 4.7
Race Condition (Review from CS 347)
- Results depend on the order of execution of the threads/processes.
- Book discusses the bounded buffer problem

Critical Section Solution (Requirements)
- Mutual exclusion -- no other process may be in critical section
- Progress -- only processes wanting into critical section can participate in the selection of next process in critical section
- Bounded waiting -- process gets critical section in a bounded manner

Peterson’s software solution
- Turn based, two processes only (numbered 0 and 1)
- flag[i] = TRUE; turn = j; while (flag[j] && turn == j) /*spin*/
- << critical section >>
- flag[i] = FALSE;
Hardware Solution
☐ Test and set done atomically by hardware (there are others)
  ☐ If already set, still set.
    while (TestAndSet(&lock)) /* spin */;
    << critical section >>
    lock = 0; /* or FALSE */
☐ Doesn’t solve bounded waiting ... 
☐ Also does busy waiting (not that good to do)

Mutexes -- a help for critical sections
☐ Mutex -> Mutual Exclusion
  mutex_lock(M)
  << critical section >>
  mutex_unlock(M)
☐ Usually have some initialization done on the mutex 
☐ no contention, low contention, high contention
Synchronization Tools (page 3)

Semaphores:
- S is an integer
- wait(S) { while (S <= 0) /* wait */; S--; }
- signal(S) { S++; }
- These need to be atomic
- (Original P (Wait) for proberen, and V (Signal) for verhogen in Dutch)
- Critical region solution: Initialize S to 1
- wait(S); << critical Region >> ; signal(S)
- Still doesn’t solve busy waiting or bounded waiting

Implementation to solve busy waiting and bounded waiting issues
- Semaphore: struct { int value; struct process *list; }
  - wait (semaphore *S)
    - S->value --;
    - if (S->value < 0) { add_to_list(self, S->list); block(); }
  - signal (semaphore *S)
    - S->value++;
    - if (S->value <= 0) { p = removefirst(S->list); wakeup(p); }
- Solves busy waiting and with a simple queue, solves unbounded waiting
- Block() and Wakeup() requires "OS help", wait/signal run in mutual exclusion
Not used properly, semaphores can cause deadlocks

P0: wait(S); wait(Q); .... signal(S); signal(Q);  
P1: wait(Q); wait(S); .... signal(Q); signal(S);

Monitors: Use of semaphores and mutexes can cause problems
-not using mutexes
-improper wait/signal sequences ...

Desire of language designers to "help" .... yielded monitors

- High level language abstraction
- ADT: only one thread may be executing inside a monitor at a time
  - shared data must be declared in the monitor

Solves critical section, does not solve other issues

Enter the "condition variable"
- Wait -- put on a queue to be signaled
- Signal -- if any process on the queue, let them run
  - no process on the queue ... do nothing
  - problem of signal and two processes running in monitor
  - solution: signal and leave.

Other high level language constructs exist ... see Path Pascal

Read: sections 6.8 and 6.9
Classic Problems of Synchronization (Chapter 7)

- **Bounded Buffer Problem**: Fixed sized buffer: `add()`, `remove()`
- **Readers-writers problem**: shared database
  - readers can run concurrently
  - writers must have exclusive access
  - writers can’t be locked out long
    - block more readers when a writer wants to write
- **Dining Philosophers**
  - Solve this as part of your assignment 2

Why talk about these issues?
- **Synchronization within the kernel!**
- Each OS has to build synchronization primitives
  - Book talks about Windows and Linux
- **POSIX defines mutexes, semaphores and condition variables**
- Read the rest of chapter 7 ... won’t talk about it here (7.4, 7.5)
- Assignment 2 has you implementing synchronization problems
Basic requirement -- CPU Switch (aka dispatcher)

- a way to switch the CPU between processes

Function (e.g. switch):
- saves current CPU state -> PCB of current process
- loads new CPU state <- PCB of new process
- common to save registers on process stack
- called from one "process", returns to next "process"

Job with CPU bursts

- Compute and I/O waits
- "wasted time" in wait
- multiprogramming to make use of that wait time

CPU Scheduler

- selects a process from the ready queue, does a switch
- ready queue may not be a true FIFO, e.g. processes may have priorities
- Nothing on the ready queue?
  - Idle process (wait for interrupt?)
Kinds of scheduling

☐ Run to completion
  ☐ As long as the process needs the CPU, it gets it
  ☐ Interrupts, timers ... are processed, but not other user processes
  ☐ Processes can "yield" to others waiting

☐ Preemptive Scheduling
  ☐ OS may "take the CPU" away from a process
  ☐ Interrupts, timers get CPU back to OS
  ☐ OS may then not give CPU back to currently running process

Scheduling criteria
☐ CPU Utilization -- keep CPU busy
☐ Throughput -- number of jobs finished
☐ Turnaround time -- time to completion for job
☐ Waiting time -- time spend waiting on the ready queue
☐ Response time -- on an interactive system
Scheduling algorithms

- First-Come, First Served
  - Convoy effect -- all short jobs wait for big jobs

- Shortest-Job-First
  - How to find out what is shortest?
    - previous CPU burst(s)
    - exponential average

- Priority Scheduling
  - Problem: starvation
    - Solution: aging ... over time increase priority

- Round Robin
  - Time quantum: use more -> preempted
    - shorter times favor response time
    - longer times favor getting more computing done
Multilevel Queue Scheduling
- foreground and background queues
- foreground 80% of CPU RR, background 20% FCFS
- priority levels
  - Job class or "social level" e.g. student processes lowest
- feedback back queues -- high priority, short quantum
  - use a full quantum, drop to next priority

What about scheduling for threads
- User Level Threads?
  - Library has to schedule
  - Library can be preemptive with signals
  - Kernel only schedules the one kernel level thread
Kernel Level Threads?
- Process A with many threads vs Process B with one thread
  - Process based scheduling?
    - process-contention scope (PCS)
  - Thread based scheduling?
    - system-contention scope (SCS)
    - done by Windows-XP, Solaris and Linux

Multi-processor Scheduling?
- Assuming homogeneous processors
- Asymmetric multiprocessing
  - One CPU is master, does all scheduling decisions
  - Other CPUs just run user code
  - No "multi-processing" in OS
Symmetric multiprocessing

- All CPUs run kernel code
- All CPUs make scheduling decisions
- Requires proper kernel thread coordination
  - don’t want same thread running on 2 or more CPUs.

Processor Affinity

- Instruction and Data caches
  - move thread to different CPU has to restart caching
- Possible special hardware on specific CPUs
- Multiple Layer Memory systems, NUMA (Non-uniform Memory Access)
  - Each CPU has fast link to some memory, slow to all other
- Hard affinity vs Soft Affinity
Load Balancing

- SMP - typically not a problem
- Run Queue per CPU => some may be busy others not
- Push or Pull migration
- Migration vs Processor Affinity
  - Migration defeats purpose of Affinity
- Larger MP systems ... e.g. MOSIX (now defunct)
  - Multi-system vs just Multi-CPU: fork() and forget ...

Multi-core processors Issues
- Single Data Path to Memory
- Memory Stall -- time CPU waits while accessing memory
- CPU schedules threads on cores
- Tries to overlap compute on one thread with memory stall on another thread

Read sections 5.5.5 to end of chapter
Deadlocks (Chapter 8)

Multiprogramming environment: several processes may compete for a finite set of resources.

Typical idea:
- Request a resource, if not available, wait for it.
- No progress if resource is not available

Problem:
- Proc A: Holds R1, Waits on R2
- Proc B: Holds R2, Waits on R1
- Deadlock!

Typical Resource use:
- Request : Use : Release
  - e.g. scanner

Deadlock conditions
- Mutual exclusion
- Hold and wait
- No preemption
- Circular wait
Resource-Allocation graph can help one understand deadlock

- Set P -- Processes
- Set R -- Resources

Directed Edges:
- $R_i \rightarrow P_j$ -- $P_j$ holds resource $R_i$
- $P_i \rightarrow R_j$ -- $P_i$ is waiting on $R_j$

Cycle in a allocation graph $\Rightarrow$ deadlock

Handling Deadlocks
- Ostrich method
- Deadlock Prevention
- Deadlock Avoidance
- Deadlock Detection

Ostrich method?
- UNIX uses it!
Deadlock Prevention

Break one of the necessary conditions

☐ Mutual Exclusion?
  ☐ Can’t ignore, there are sharable resources (e.g. read-only file)
  ☐ Mutex lock -- protecting a read/write sharable resource

☐ Hold and Wait?
  ☐ Request ALL at beginning?
  ☐ Request when not holding?
  ☐ Low resource utilization and possible starvation

☐ Preemption?
  ☐ Take away a resource from a process to give to another
    ☐ CPU? -- works well
    ☐ Printer? -- not so good

☐ Circular Wait?
  ☐ Request resources in the same order (R1, R2, ...)
  ☐ Request resources so we are not holding any higher number R

Read about deadlock avoidance and recovery from deadlock .... we need to move on ...