Memory Management (Chapter 9)

To improve CPU utilization in a multiprogramming environment we need multiple programs in main memory at the same time.

Basic CPUs and Physical Memory

- CPU <-> cache <-> Physical memory
  - CPU stall going to main memory
  - cache speedups
- Address Binding
  - compile time
  - load time (relocatable code)
  - execution time
  - logical (CPU) vs physical (memory) addresses
    - MMU -- changes logical into physical
  - PIC (position independent code)
  - Dynamic Linking and shared libraries
    - Needs PIC code
    - Usually needs advanced hardware
  - Protection from other processes, dynamic address control

Memory Management Techniques

Swapping

- Copying out memory to a "backing store"
  - Early systems used "drum storage"
  - Disk is used now, both rotating and solid state
- End of quantum, swap out while running another process.
- Larger memory means less swapping
- Thrashing -- spending most of time swapping
- Mobile OSes don’t usually support swapping
  - Give other applications memory warnings ...

Contiguous Memory Allocation

- Full memory footprint of programs stored contiguously
- Protection from other processes
  - Base and limit registers (Kernel mode access only)
    - Min and Max for current process
    - real address vs base+offset
- Partition memory for processes
  - Fixed sized partitions
  - Variable sized partitions

Contiguous Memory Allocation (Page 2)

- Partition algorithms (variable sizes)
  - First fit
  - Best fit
  - Worst fit
  - Fragmentation
    - external -- variable partitions
    - internal -- fixed sized or blocked allocation

Paging -- a better solution

- Non-contiguous memory allocation
- Uses special hardware to do address mapping
- Basic Method
  - Physical memory -- divided up into equal sized frames
  - Logical memory -- divided up into pages (same size as frames)
  - MMU maps between logical memory (pages) to physical (frames)
  - Page table: maps page to frame
  - Physical memory can have more frames than logical has pages
  - page table changed between processes (kernel mode only)

Paging Hardware

- Simple MMU
  - Page table: N entries maps to N pages
  - address: <page number><offset in page>
  - Translation: PT[page number] + offset => physical address
  - Storage for page table?
    - Registers -- special set / process switch issues
    - Memory -- switch pointer

Multi-level page tables

- Multi-level page tables: (32 bit x86 series, old NS 32532)
  - Page size 4k, 32 bit addresses
  - 1024 entries in each page table page
  - Virtual Address
    - 11-00: offset
    - 21-12: entry B
    - 31-22: entry A
  - Register has a pointer to Table A (Page directory)
  - Entry A: 1K entries pointing to entry B tables (Page Table)
  - Entry B: 1K entries pointing to frames
  - Filled out page tables ... 1025 4K pages: 4,198,400 bytes
  - Page Table Entry format: bits 31-12, frame number,
    - 11-7 unused (mostly), 6 dirty, 5 referenced, 4 cache disable
    - 3 write-through, 2 user/supervisor, 1 R/W, 0 valid

RISC-V Summary

- SV 32 -- very similar to i386, 4K pages, 2 level page tables
  - 1K entries per page table, 32 bits/entry
  - 32 bit virtual address, 34 bit physical address (16G mem)
    - VA: <10><10><12> PA: <14><10><12> or <24><12>
  - ANY PTE can be a "leaf"
  - 4K page and a 4M page (must be 4M alligned, super page)
  - PTE format
    - Bits 0 - 9 same for all RISCV models
    - 0 - V (valid) 1 - R (read) 2 - W (write) 3 - X (execute)
      - RWX values: 0 => pointer to next level
        - 1 - Read only, 3 - Read/Write, 4 - execute only, 5 - RX, 7 - RWX
    - 4 - U (leaf, availabe in user mode) 5 - G (global .. in all maps)
    - 6 - A (accessed) 7 - D (dirty) (leaf only, may not be set by hardware)
    - 8-9 - RSW (reserved for supervisor)
    - 10-31 - PPN - Frame number (22 bits, matches 34 bit physical)
- Available only on the RISCV-32, not on the RISCV-64

More RISCV SV levels

- SV 39 -- Add another level, 3 levels total
  - Used by Toy OS (Smallest VM model in RISCV-64)
  - Still 4k page size.
  - Virtual addresses, 39 bits: <9><9><9><12>
  - Physical addresses, 56 bits <44 frame no><12 offset>
  - 4k base page, 64 bit entries -> 512 entries per page table
  - ANY PTE can be a "leaf", 4K, 2M (megapage), and 1G (gigapages) pages (aligned)
  - PTE format:
    - 0-9: same as SV 32
    - 10 - 53: PPN (frame number)
    - 54-60: reserved (must be zero)
    - 61-62: PBMT (Page-based Memory Types), 63 - N (reserved for future definitions)
- SV 48 -- Add another level, 4 levels total
  - 48 bit logical: <9><9><9><9><12>, 56 bit physical
  - 4k base page, 64 bit entires -> 512 entries per page table
  - any PTE can be a "leaf", 4k, 2M, 1G and 512G (terapage) pages. (aligned)
- SV 57 -- Add another level, 5 levels total
  - 4k base page, 64 bit entires -> 512 entries per page table
  - any PTE can be a "leaf", 4k, 2M, 1G, 512G and 256 TiB (petapage) pages. (aligned)
- Ref: https://riscv.org/specifications/privileged-isa pg 59

Other Large Page Tables

some 64 bit architectures use other techniques

- Hashed Page tables
  - Entry: Page Virtual Address, Frame physical address, chain address
  - Size of table an issue
- Clustered page tables -- similar to hashed
  - Each entry points to a cluster of pages
  - 8, 16, or 32 pages in cluster
  - makes smaller hash tables
- Inverted Page Tables (Ultra sparc, Power PC)
  - Issue: regular page tables may take lots of memory (Full RV39 page tables -- 1,075,843,072 bytes)
  - Solution: frame table
    - Entry: Process Id, Virtual Address/page
    - VA: <processID, Page #, offset>
    - inverted table is searched for <PID, Page#>
    - inverted table in associative memory or hash table
    - harder to implement shared memory

Shared pages?

- i386/ns32532/RISCV methods
- hashed and inverted tables

Other hardware support for paging

Problem: Where to store page tables?

- In special registers?
  - Process switch requires one to reload registers
- In memory?
  - Each memory reference needs to look up a page table entry
  - satp (Supervisor Address Translation and Protection register)
  - Makes process switch much easier, one register
  - double (or more) the time to access memory
  - Solution to this ... TLB (Translation Look-aside buffer)
    - High speed associative memory
    - Stores <page number, frame number> pairs
    - Can get it "invalidated" or "flushed"
    - TLB set up by accessing a page table
    - Fewer entries than total pages available
    - Sometimes TLB entries can be "wired down"
    - Some TLBs store <pid, page number, frame number>
      - Can be used by multiple processes concurrently

Segmentation

Another twist of logical addresses to physical addresses

- Idea of various segments
  - e.g. Text, Global, Heap, Stack
  - may expand memory by using unique addresses for each segment
  - e.g Often know when fetching instructions vs data
- Old example: HP 3000, 63 text segments, 1 or 2 data segments
  - Segments can help do shared libraries
  - Allowed for larger memory space than 16bit addresses allowed
- More recent example: IA-32
  - Up to 16K segments, each segment 4G
    - 8K shared segments, 8K private segments
  - 6 segment registers to allow a process to address multiple segments
  - final physical address, 32 bit
  - doesn’t allow larger physical than logical spaces

Virtual Memory (Chapter 10)

Previous chapter

- multiple processes in memory at the same time
- techniques to share main memory
- page table mechanisms

This chapter -- complete memory view for processes

- how to manage memory (by kernel) for processes

Basic requirement -- instructions/data must be in real memory to use them

- not all data/instructions need to be in memory all the time
  - some unused code may never be needed
  - logical memory may be larger than physical memory
    - Old times ... overlays
  - Error cases may not be needed
  - Complete subsystems may be unused during a particular run
  - Programmer allocates 100x100, user uses 10x10
- Allow placing of data/instructions in memory only if needed.
  - Not all of all segments are mapped
  - Allows more processes in main memory at the same time

Virtual Memory (page 2)

Virtual memory -- separation of logical (user) view from physical memory

- Programmer can program with a large VM address
- Programmer can view it as linear and contiguous
- Paging hardware allows for "shared pages"
  - Use to get shared libraries implemented

Demand paging

- A different kind of "swapping"
  - Swapping?
  - Save entire process memory to disk, reload to memory to run
- Demand paging can be a "lazy swapper"
  - process doing this is the "pager"
  - Code (text) of program is on disk
  - Can allocate disk space for process "r/w memory" to be saved.

Demand paging (page 2)

- don’t load memory from disk until it is needed
  - How?
  - Page fault => need page X
  - find page X on disk
  - load page X into memory
  - update page table
  - rerun instruction
  - uses the valid bit in the page tables
  - larger page tables (multi-level) demand load page tables

Pure demand paging ...

- start program running without any pages in memory!
  (New program & process)
  - not cool ... there are known pages needed
    - instructions at load position
    - initial stack location
    - global data possibly
- performance is an issue for demand paging
  - levels of access: cache -> memory -> disk
  - times? 10ns, 50ns, 8 to 12ms (.1ms ssd)
- effective access time = (1-p)*ma + p*pft
  - ma = memory access time (ignoring cache effects),
  - pft = page fault time, p = fault probability 0 <= p <= 1
- Use ns (nano seconds)
- ma = 50

Demand paging (page 3)

- Performance (continued)
  - pft?
    - trap, context switch, call page fault function
    - find page, lookup disk file, schedule page load
    - wait for page to be loaded
    - return from trap (context switch ....)
  - up to 8-12 ms (or more!) [.1ms ssd]
  - time = (1-p) * 50 + p * 8000000 [p*100000 ssd]
  - = 50 + 7999950*p [50-99950p ssd]
  - If p = .001 (one out of 1000) => 8.1 microsec memory cycle! [150ns ssd]
  - 10% performance degradation?
    - 55 > 50 + 7999950*p [50 + 99950*p ssd]
    - 5 > 7999950*p [5 > 99950*p ssd]
    - p < 0.000000625 or 1 in 1,600,000 [p< .00005 or 1 in 20000 ssd]
- This did just a read, not read and write ... so double the numbers if both is required
- ssd issue of write life for swapping an issue

Process creation

Fork():

- Have a running process with a complete memory image
- Options?
  - Copy the entire memory space?
  - Copy on write!
    - On fork, turn all page entries to R/O
    - A process that gets a page fault due to write
      - copy frame
      - set each page table to point to a different one
    - (Harder to do on inverted page tables)
    - Processes share R/O pages
- Advantage of copy-on-write?
  - Don’t copy and then throw away!
- vfork()?
  - suspend parent, let child run using parent’s memory
  - child should immediately call exec().
  - child change of memory will show up in parent!

Exec(): Keep current PCB and so forth, rebuild memory image

Demand Paging and Page Replacement

With demand paging comes something not as expensive as swapping ...

- page removal from frames when out of frames
  - page fault -> need more memory
  - memory is full, need to reuse a frame
  - take a page from some other process
    - Dirty or clean page?
      - clean if possible
        - don’t have to write it out
        - don’t have to wait for it to be written
- Algorithm for selecting frame/page to throw out... (page replacement algorithms)
  - most OSes have their own scheme .... but
  - there are standard algorithms to consider
    - FIFO
      - Issues?
        - May throw out one you need soon
        - Belady’s anomaly -- more frames increase page fault rate in some cases
        - Expect more page frames lower fault rate

Page Replacement (page 2)

- Optimal Page replacement
  - Always replace the page that will not be used for the longest period of time.
  - Doesn’t really exist

Trying to approximate the Optimal Page replacement algorithm

- Least recently used (LRU)
  - page that has not been used for the longest
  - assume it will not be needed soon
  - locality of reference in code and data
- How to implement?
  - Hardware support is essential
  - Counters -- add a memory reference counter to hardware
    - Access to a page stores counter to that page table entry
    - Smallest counter in page table is LRU page
  - Stack -- add a stack to the page table
    - Each memory access puts the current page on top of stack
    - Entries are not allowed to be duplicated
    - Entry at the bottom of stack is LRU page

Page Replacement (page 3)

- Problem?
  - Few computers supply previous mentioned hardware support
- What do they provide?
  - Referenced and Dirty Bits -- like RISCV

LRU approximation algorithms

- These algorithms assume that the referenced and dirty bits are cleared on load

- second-chance
  - Basic algorithm is fifo
  - when a page is selected, check reference bit
    - if 0, replace
    - if 1, add to end of fifo and clear reference bit

- enhanced second-chance
  - use referenced and modified, use the pair (r,d)
  - (0,0) not referenced, not modified, good choice
  - (0,1) not referenced, modified, requires a page out also
  - (1,0) referenced, not modified, may be used again
  - (1,1) referenced, modified, most likely in active use, page out required
  - replace the oldest in the lowest non-empty class first

LRU approximation algorithms (page 2)

- Additional-reference-bits
  - keep an extra integer value for each page in memory (8 bits works)
  - at a regular interval shift reference bit into extra integer at MSB
    - 100 ms a good time?
    - right shift R -> extra_int, lsb (least significant bit) drops out
    - clear the reference bit
  - replace page with smallest extra integer
  - vary the number of bits
  - extreme case of 1 bit => second-chance algorithm
- LFU - Least frequently used
  - keep a count of the number of times the page is used
    - hardware counter?
    - reference bit?
  - small counts imply not frequently used
  - Issue: Initial use page, not used later
    - Solution: count aging
- MFU - most frequently used
  - idea is that new pages just brought in have not been frequently used

Other paging related ideas

Page-Buffering

- Keep a collection of free frames -- the pool
- page fault -> select page to replace via algorithm
  - get a free frame for new page, start read immediately
  - if old frame is dirty, write it out, then add it back to the pool
- want to keep a minimum number in the free frame pool
- allows process to resume faster than a "write page, load page" operation
- Modification to improve "write times"
  - when paging device is idle, select a modified frame to write out
  - improves the probability that the page is not dirty when selected for page out
- Another tweak -- pages in the free pool "remember" which page they contain
  - A page fault for a page in the free pool requires no I/O to restore
  - works well with FIFO or second-chance
  - works with other paging algorithms

Frame Allocation

How should frames be allocated to processes?

- Equal allocation?
- Proportional allocation?

First ... minimum frame count?

- Instruction length ... can it cross a page
- Data access:
  - number of memory locations per instruction
  - any indirection
  - infinite indirection?
    - limit to 16 levels or so

Equal allocation: m frames, n processes, each gets m/n

Proportional allocation: frames needed total vs frames needed by all processes

- P_i_frames_needed/total_frames_needed * frames_available

Priority allocation: give more frames to high priority processes

Frame Allocation (page 2)

Global versus Local allocation

- Process i gets a page fault
  - look only at pages owned by P_i? (local)
  - look at all possible frames? (global)
- Global -- a process can’t control own fault rate
- Local -- may not get access to unused memory
  - large program spending lots of time in small part of program/data
- Global used most often

Non-uniform memory access issues

- Multi-CPU / Memory Module systems
- CPU access faster to some memory
- choose frames with minium latency

Thrashing

- CPU utilization vs degree of multiprogramming
- At some point, increasing the load decreases the CPU utilization
- Happens more often in global replacement algorithms
  - spend more time doing paging than CPU work
- Locality
  - a set of frames actively used together
  - a way to help quantify what pages should be in memory
  - may have several localities during the running of a program
  - don’t have enough pages for locality ... the process thrashes

Working Set Model

- Parameter: delta time -- working-set window
- Varies over time
- Find a working set for each process
- Keep each frame allocation to working set
- Helps stop thrashing and increase CPU utilization
- May be able to help detect working set via page fault rate

Memory Mapped Files and Shared Memory

Techniques using paging

- Memory Mapped Files
  - Map file, don’t read in contents
  - Access to file is via memory "reference"
  - Uses pager to get data into memory
  - Automatic write back of "dirty pages"
  - Allows multiple processes to use same file
  - CMU: Recoverable Virtual Memory (RVM)
    - Build a data structure in memory
    - Copy goes to disk
    - Run program again, recover data structure
  - NetBSD on small files for cp(1):
    - mmap(source file); mmap(dest file); memmove(); close()...

Shared Memory

- Use page tables, map same physical frames into logical adr space of 2 or more processes
- Can map as r/w or r/o pages
- SYSV API for shared memory

Kernel Memory and Allocation

Kernel memory is somewhat different than "user memory"

- Still using from limited frame pool
- Hardware may require contiguous memory, e.g. DMA buffers
- Some OSes may not run in a paged mode
- How about a page fault while running in kernel mode?
  - Error for most OSes.
- Read about Buddy System ... not really that good
- Typical allocators
  - subsystem allocates frames
  - may hand out smaller chunks to other parts of the kernel
  - large allocations may be integral number of frames, contiguous
- Typical OS
  - on boot find free frames
  - initialize kernel memory allocation
  - use "free frames" for both user pages and kernel allocation
  - kernel allocation may interfere with user processes by grabbing frames
  - NetBSD -- pmap component maps physical memory

Other issues

Prepaging -- Trying to predict page needs and get the page in memory before use

- May do this for a newly exec()ed process and processes being swapped in.
- Possible problems: guessed wrong, too much prepaging

Page size?

- Often the hardware dictates page size
- Some machines offer several page sizes
  - small pages -> more efficient memory use (fragmentation)
  - larger pages -> less paging
- time required to read/write a given page

TLB reach

- TLB (Translation Lookaside Buffer)
- TLB reach -- amount of memory accessible from the TLB
- page size * number of TLB entries
- would like working set all from the TLB
- Some architectures allow for multiple page sizes
  - means TLB is partially managed by software

Other issues (page 2)

I/O and frames

- Common I/O technique, DMA (Direct Memory Access)
- DMA uses real memory addresses
- What if user buffer crosses a page boundary?
  - Don’t do DMA to user memory
  - OR Move pages to be contiguous
- Lock (or pin) a frame in memory for I/O operation
- Lock frames for kernel into memory
  - OSes don’t like to generate page faults themselves!

Kernel access to user data:

- RISCV/Toy OS
  - Virtual vs Real address
  - Kernel running without mapping, user running with mapping
- Other machines/OSes x86, NetBSD/riscv, linux on RISCV
  - kernel and user both mapped
  - NetBSD: uiomove() function
  - Boot time: start running at real addresses, switch to virtual

Read section 10.10